

**IN THE MATTER OF THE BY-LAWS
OF THE INVESTMENT DEALERS ASSOCIATION OF CANADA
AND ALBERT FRANK CORRADINI**

Hearing Panel: Honourable Robert S. Montgomery, Q.C.
Mr. T. Hugh McNabney
Mr. George Dunn

Heard: May 28, 2008

Appearances: Milton Chan, Enforcement Counsel
Alistair M. Crawley, for Albert Frank Corradini

DECISION OF THE HEARING PANEL

This hearing proceeded pursuant to a Settlement Agreement as a joint submission. The Settlement Agreement is reproduced below:

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The Enforcement Department Staff (“Staff”) of the Investment Dealers Association of Canada (“the Association”) has conducted an investigation (“the Investigation”) into the conduct of Albert Frank Corradini (“the Respondent”).
2. The Investigation discloses matters for which the Respondent may be disciplined by a hearing panel appointed pursuant to Association By-law 20 Part 10 (“the Hearing Panel”).

II. JOINT SETTLEMENT RECOMMENDATION

3. Staff and the Respondent consent and agree to the settlement of these matters by way of this settlement agreement (“the Settlement Agreement”) in accordance with By-laws 20.35 to 20.40, inclusive and Rule 15 of the Association Rules of Practice and Procedure.
4. The Settlement Agreement is subject to acceptance by the Hearing Panel.
5. The Settlement Agreement shall become effective and binding upon the Respondent and Staff as of the date of its acceptance by the Hearing Panel.
6. The Settlement Agreement will be presented to the Hearing Panel at a hearing (“the Settlement Hearing”) for approval. Following the conclusion of the Settlement Hearing, the Hearing Panel may either accept or reject the Settlement Agreement.
7. If the Hearing Panel accepts the Settlement Agreement, the Respondent waives his/her right under the Association By-laws and any applicable legislation to a disciplinary hearing, review or appeal.
8. If the Hearing Panel rejects the Settlement Agreement, Staff and the Respondent may enter into another settlement agreement; or Staff may proceed to a disciplinary hearing in relation to the matters disclosed in the Investigation.
9. The Settlement Agreement will become available to the public upon its acceptance by the Hearing Panel.
10. Staff and the Respondent agree that if the Hearing Panel accepts the Settlement Agreement, they, or anyone on their behalf, will not make any public statements inconsistent with the Settlement Agreement.
11. Staff and the Respondent jointly recommend that the Hearing Panel accept the Settlement Agreement.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

(i) Acknowledgment

12. Staff and the Respondent agree with the facts set out in this Section III and acknowledge that the terms of the settlement contained in this Settlement Agreement are based upon those specific facts.

(ii) Factual Background

A. The Respondent

13. The Respondent has been registered with the Association as a Registered Representative since October 1985 and has no prior disciplinary record with the IDA.
14. At all material times, the Respondent was registered with the Association as a Registered Representative with Options (RRO) and employed with National Bank Financial Ltd in Sudbury. He has been employed by National Bank Financial Ltd since July 1999.
15. The Respondent was employed by Merrill Lynch Canada in Sudbury between October 1985 and June 1999.

B. Background Information

16. United Bolero Development Corporation (UNB), a Vancouver based public company which trades on the TSX Venture exchange, is a reporting issuer in British Columbia, Ontario, and Alberta.
17. JB was at all material time a client of the Respondent. He became a client of the Respondent around 1987, and had at least two accounts with the Respondent at National Bank Financial Ltd.
18. Staff's investigation into the Respondents' conduct arose as a result of a complaint letter from UNB to the Association in July 2006.

C. Failure to advise and obtain prior approval from employer in respect of outside business activities

19. In December 2004, the Respondent introduced JB to United Bolero Development Corporation (UNB) through another client, BN. BN, an officer of the UNB, advised the Respondent that UNB was looking to acquire mining properties. The Respondent was aware that JB had an interest in certain properties in Montana, so he put JB and BN in contact.
20. As a result of the introduction, UNB acquired the properties in Montana in or around February 2005.
21. In addition, the Respondent went to Vancouver in January 2005, at UNB's expense, to introduce JB to BN and other principals of UNB in person.
22. As a result of UNB's acquisition of the Montana properties, it was prepared to pay the Respondent a finder's fee in the form of common shares of UNB.

23. Rather than receive those shares personally, the Respondent directed that those shares be issued to his father-in-law, WP. Accordingly, on February 22, 2005, UNB issued a press release stating WP would receive 121,959 shares of UNB common shares as a finder's fee.
24. At no time did the Respondent advise his employer firm of his involvement with UNB, or that he was entitled to a finder's fee and had directed the finder's fee to his father-in-law. At the time, the Respondent was not aware that he was required to disclose to NBF and obtain prior approval to engage in activities that would result in a finder's fee that was payable to his father-in-law. In fact, NBF's policies and procedures required the Respondent to disclose and obtain prior approval for such activities.
25. NBF has investigated this matter, and determined that it was always the Respondent's intent to comply with his obligation and responsibility with respect to fulfilling his ethical commitments to his Member firm and his clients.
26. The Respondent did not personally own or trade any securities of UNB during the relevant period.

D. Failure to update the new client application forms for JB

27. JB became a director of UNB on or about December 23, 2004
28. Between December 2004 and September 2005, the Respondent and JB communicated frequently, usually at least once a week.
29. The Respondent undertook only two trades for JB in the shares of UNB while he was an insider, in March and June 2005 respectively. At that time of the June 2005 trade, the NBF Compliance Department advised the Respondent that JB was a director of UNB, and requested the Respondent to amend a trade ticket for a purchase of UNB shares to reflect JB's insider status.
30. After JB became a director of UNB, the Respondent failed to update JB's account documentation to reflect his insider status until September 2005. No other trades in the shares of UNB, except for the two mentioned above, were executed for JB's account in the period between December 2004 and September 2005.

IV. CONTRAVENTIONS

31. The Respondent admits to the following contraventions of Association By-laws, Regulations, Rulings or Policies:
 - i. Between June and September 2005, the Respondent failed to update the new client application forms for JB to reflect his insider status, contrary to Association Regulation 1300.1;

- ii. Between December 2004 and March 2005, the Respondent engaged in business conduct which is detrimental to the public interest, in that he failed to advise and obtain prior approval from his Member firm in respect of his outside business activities with UNB, contrary to standards for conduct prescribed in Standard C of the Conduct and Practices Handbook (“CPH”), the internal policies and procedures of his Member firm, and Association By-law 29.1.

VI. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

32. The Respondent agrees to the following terms of settlement:

- i. A fine in the amount of
 - a. \$5,000 for contravention i;
 - b. \$10,000 for contravention ii;
- ii. Successful completion of the Conduct and Practices Handbook examination within 6 months of the acceptance of this settlement agreement.

33. Unless otherwise stated, any monetary penalties and costs imposed upon the Respondent are payable immediately upon the effective date of the Settlement Agreement.

34. Unless otherwise stated, any suspensions, bars, expulsions, restrictions or other terms of the Settlement Agreement shall commence on the effective date of the Settlement Agreement.

AGREED TO by the Respondent at the City of Sudbury in the Province of Ontario, this 8th day of May, 2008.

Original Executed by

“Witness Signature”
"WITNESS"

“Albert Corradini”
"ALBERT FRANK CORRADINI "

AGREED TO by Staff at the City of Toronto in the Province of Ontario, this 9th day of May, 2008.

“Carla Estevao”
"WITNESS"
Carla Estevao

“Milton Chan”
"MILTON CHAN"
Enforcement Counsel on behalf of
Staff of the Investment Dealers
Association of Canada

We are of the view that the Settlement Agreement is fair to both parties. The Settlement Agreement is approved and we accept it.

Dated at Toronto, this 28th day of May 2008.

Executed originally by:

“Robert S. Montgomery”
The Honourable Robert S. Montgomery, Q.C.
Chair

“T.Hugh McNabney”
Mr. T. Hugh McNabney

“George Dunn”
Mr. George Dunn